arXiv:1511.03904v1 [hep-ph] 12 Nov 2015

International Journal of Modern Physics: Conference Series
© The Authors

Radial Meson Excitations and Abelian Self-Dual Gluon Fields

Sergei N. Nedelko

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Russia
nedelko@theor.jinr.ru

Vladimir E. Voronin

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Russia
nedelko@theor.jinr.ru

Received Day Month Year
Revised Day Month Year
Published Day Month Year

We briefly review motivation and results of the approach to QCD vacuum as a medium describable in terms of statistical ensemble of almost everywhere constant Abelian (anti-)self-dual gluon fields. An overview of the hadronization formalism based on this ensemble is presented. New results for radial excitations of light, heavy-light mesons and heavy quarkonia are presented. A possible interrelation between the present approach and holographic QCD with harmonic confinement is outlined.

Keywords: QCD vacuum; confinement; meson wave functions; Regge spectrum; decay constants, AdS/QCD, light front holography.

PACS numbers: 12.38.Aw, 12.38.Lg, 12.38.Mh, 11.15.Tk

1. Introduction

In recent years, the approaches to confinement based on the ideas of the soft wall ADS/QCD model and light front holography demonstrated an impressive phenomenological success [19,21]. The crucial features of these approaches are the particular dilaton profile $\varphi(z) = \kappa^2 z^2$ and the harmonic oscillator form of the confining potential as the function of fifth coordinate z . In this respect holographic QCD can be seen as relative to the formalism developed by Leutwyler and Stern in a series of papers devoted to the covariant description of bilocal meson-like fields $\Phi(x, z)$ combined with the idea of harmonic confinement [20].

In this paper we briefly review the approach to QCD bosonization based on the representation of QCD vacuum in terms of the statistical ensemble of almost everywhere homogeneous Abelian (anti-)self-dual gluon fields, calculate the spectrum of radial meson excitations and their decay constants. We outline the possible

This is an Open Access article published by World Scientific Publishing Company. It is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 (CC-BY) License. Further distribution of this work is permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

relation of the soft wall AdS/QCD and light-front holography ideas with this, at first sight, completely different approach. The character of meson wave functions in our approach [11] is predetermined by the form of the gluon propagator in the background of vacuum gluon field. These wave functions are almost identical to the wave functions of the soft wall AdS/QCD with quadratic dilaton profile. In both cases we are dealing with the generalized Laguerre polynomials as the functions of z. In the hadronization approach of Refs. 11 and 12 the fifth coordinate z appears as the relative distance between quark and antiquark in the center of mass coordinate system, while the center of mass coordinate x represents the space-time point where the extended meson field is localized.

The physical origin for the particular form of dilaton profile in ADC/QCD and light front holography as well as the harmonic potential in the Stern-Leutwyler consideration, and, hence, for the Laguerre polynomial form of the meson wave functions, could not be identified within these approaches themselves. On the contrary, the approach based on bosonization in the background gluon fields links the required by the meson phenomenology form of the meson wave functions to a particular picture of QCD vacuum.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to motivation of the approach. Effective meson action is considered in section 3. Results for the masses, transition and decay constants of various mesons are presented in section 4. In the last section we outline possible relation between hadronization approach and the formalism of the soft wall AdS/QCD model.

2. Domain wall network as QCD vacuum

Functional integral approach to quantization of QCD requires certain conditions defining the functional space of fields to be integrated over (see, e.g., Ref.1). In the very basic representation of the Euclidean functional integral for QCD,

$$Z = N \int\limits_{\mathcal{F}_B} DA \int\limits_{\Psi} D\psi D\bar{\psi} \exp\{-S[A,\psi,\bar{\psi}]\}, \tag{1}$$

integration spaces $\mathcal{F}_B$ for gluon and Ψ for quark fields have to be specified. Bearing in mind a nontrivial QCD vacuum structure encoded in various condensates, one have to define $\mathcal{F}_B$ allowing gluon fields with nonzero classical action density,

$$\mathcal{F}_B = \left\{ A : \lim_{V\to\infty} \frac{1}{V} \int_V d^4x g^2 F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) = B^2 \right\}. \tag{2}$$

The value of B is allowed to be nonzero. Separation of modes B^a_μ responsible for nonzero condensates from the small perturbations Q^a_μ must be supplemented with gauge fixing. Background gauge fixing condition $D(B)Q = 0$ is the most natural choice. To perform separation, one inserts identity

$$1 = \int\limits_{\mathcal{B}} DB\Phi[A,B] \int\limits_{\mathcal{Q}} DQ \int\limits_{\Omega} D\omega \delta[A^\omega - Q^\omega - B^\omega] \delta[D(B^\omega)Q^\omega] \tag{3}$$

in the functional integral and arrives at

$$Z = N' \int\limits_{\mathcal{B}} DB \int\limits_{\Psi} D\psi D\bar{\psi} \int\limits_{\mathcal{Q}} DQ \det[\mathcal{D}(B)\mathcal{D}(B+Q)]\delta[\mathcal{D}(B)Q]e^{-S_{\rm QCD}[B+Q,\psi,\bar{\psi}]}$$
$$= \int\limits_{\mathcal{B}} DB \exp\{-S_{\rm eff}[B]\}.$$

Thus defined quantum effective action $S_{\rm eff}[B]$ has a physical meaning of the free energy of the quantum field system in the presence of the background gluon field B^a_μ. In the limit $V \to \infty$ global minima of $S_{\rm eff}[B]$ determine the class of gauge field configurations representing the equilibrium state (vacuum) of the system.

Quite reliable argumentation in favor of (almost everywhere) homogeneous Abelian (anti-)self-dual fields as dominating vacuum configurations was put forward by many authors. Pagels and Tomboulis showed[3] that these background fields represented a medium infinitely stiff to small color charged field fluctuations. This feature was interpreted as suggestive for confinement of color. A comprehensive analysis of homogeneous fields was done by Leutwyler [2]. Abelian (anti-)self-dual covariantly constant fields were shown to be the only constant fields free from tachyonic modes. Furthermore, propagators of color charged fields in constant background Abelian (anti-)self-dual field are entire analytical functions of momentum, which has been treated as confinement of color [4]. Calculation of QCD quantum effective action in functional renormalization group approach [6] supported the results of one-loop calculations [3,5,2] and indicated the existence of a minimum of the effective potential for nonzero value of Abelian (anti-)self-dual homogeneous gluon field .

Ginzburg-Landau (GL) approach to the quantum effective action indicated a possibility of the domain wall network formation in QCD vacuum resulting in the the dominating vacuum gluon configuration seen as an ensemble of densely packed lumps of Abelian (anti-)self-dual field [7]. This conclusion is based on the observation that assumption about the nonzero scalar gluon condensate leads to existence of twelve discrete degenerate global minima of the effective action.

$$A^{k,\pm}_\mu = -\frac{1}{2} n_k F_{\mu\nu} x_\nu,\ \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \pm F_{\mu\nu},$$

where " $\pm$ " denotes (anti-)self-duality and matrix $\check{n}_k$ belongs to Cartan subalgebra of $su(3)$

$$n_k = T^3\ \cos(\xi_k) + T^8\ \sin(\xi_k),\quad \xi_k = \frac{2k+1}{6}\pi,\ k = 0, 1, \ldots, 5.$$

The minima are connected by the parity and Weyl group reflections. Their existence indicates that the system is prone to the domain wall formation. To demonstrate the simplest example of domain wall interpolating between the self-dual and anti-self-dual Abelian configurations, one allows the angle ω between chromomagnetic and chromoelectric fields to vary and restricts other degrees of freedom of gluon field to their vacuum values. In this case GL Lagrangian leads to the sine-Gordon

equation for ω with the standard kink solution (for details see Ref. 7)

$$\omega(x_\nu) = 2 \ \arctan\left(\exp(\mu x_\nu)\right). \tag{4}$$

Away from the kink location vacuum field is almost self-dual ($\omega = 0$) or anti-self-dual ($\omega = \pi$). Exactly at the wall it becomes purely chromomagnetic ($\omega = 0$). Domain wall network is constructed by means of the kink superposition. In general kink can be parametrized as

$$\zeta(\mu_i, \eta^i_\nu x_\nu - q^i) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arctan\exp(\mu_i(\eta^i_\nu x_\nu - q^i)), \tag{5}$$

where μ^i is inverse width of the kink, η^i_ν is a normal to the wall and $q^i = \eta^i_\nu x_\nu$ are coordinates of the wall. A single lump in two, three and four dimensions is given by

$$\omega(x) = \pi \prod_{i=1}^{k} \zeta(\mu_i, \eta^i_\nu x_\nu - q^i). \tag{6}$$

for $k = 4, 6, 8$, respectively. The general kink network is then given by the additive superposition of lumps

$$\omega = \pi \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \zeta(\mu_{ij}, \eta^{ij}_\nu x_\nu - q^{ij}). \tag{7}$$

Topological charge density distribution for a network of domain walls with different width is illustrated in Fig.1.



$\langle F^2 \rangle = B^2$
$\langle |F\widetilde{F}| \rangle = B^2$

$\langle F^2 \rangle = B^2$
$\langle |F\widetilde{F}| \rangle \ll B^2$

Fig. 1. Topological charge density for domain wall networks with different values of μ. The left-most picture is an example of confining almost everywhere homogeneous Abelian (anti-)self-dual fields. Blue (red) color corresponds to the self-dual field (anti-self-dual), green - pure chromomagnetic field.

3. Hadronization within the domain model of QCD vacuum

The haronization formalism based on domain model of QCD vacuum was elaborated in the series of papers 11, 12, 8, 13. We refer to these papers for most of the technical details omitted in this brief presentation. It has been shown that the model embraces static and dynamical quark confinement as well as spontaneous breaking

of chiral symmetry. Estimation of masses of light, heavy-light mesons and heavy quarkonia along with their orbital excitations[11,12,13] demonstrated impressive phenomenological performance. Calculations in Refs. 12, 13 have been done neglecting certain mixing between radially excited meson fields. Below we present results of calculation refined in this respect.

Nonperturbative gluon fields are represented in the model by the ensemble of domain-structured fields with the field strength tensor[8,13]

$$F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} n^{(k)a} B^{(k)}_{\mu\nu} \theta(1-(x-z_k)^2/R^2), \quad B^{(k)}_{\mu\nu} B^{(k)}_{\mu\rho} = B^2 \delta_{\nu\rho}, \quad B = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\Lambda^2,$$
$$\tilde{B}^{(k)}_{\mu\nu} = \pm B^{(k)}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \hat{n}^{(k)} = t^3 \cos\xi_k + t^8 \sin\xi_k, \quad \xi_k \in \left\{ \frac{\pi}{6}(2l+1),\ l = 0,\dots,5 \right\},$$

where scale Λ and mean domain radius R are parameters of the model related to the scalar gluon condensate and topological susceptibility respectively[8].

Table 1. Model parameters fitted to the masses of $\pi, \rho, K, K^*, \eta', J/\psi, \Upsilon$ and used in calculation of all other quantities.

$m_{u/d}$, MeV	m_s, MeV	m_c, MeV	m_b, MeV	Λ, MeV	α_s	R, fm
145	376	1566	4879	416	3.45	1.12

The measure of integration over ensemble of background fields is defined as

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{B}} dB \ldots = \prod_i \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_V \frac{d^4 z_i}{V} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_i \int_0^{\pi} d\theta_i \sin\theta_i$$
$$\times \int_0^{2\pi} d\xi_i \sum_{l=0,1,2}^{3,4,5} \delta(\xi_i - \frac{(2l+1)\pi}{6}) \int_0^{\pi} d\omega_i \sum_{k=0,1} \delta(\omega_i - \pi k) \ldots$$

Once measure of integration for background field is specified, one may return to functional integral of QCD and, recalling the definition of Green's functions,

$$G^{a_1\ldots a_n}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n|B) = \frac{1}{g^n} \frac{\delta^n \ln W[j]}{\delta j^{a_1}_{\mu_1}(x_1)\ldots\delta j^{a_n}_{\mu_n}(x_n)}\bigg|_{j=0},$$

arrive at the representation

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int dB \int_{\Psi} \mathcal{D}\psi\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \exp\left\{ \int dx \bar{\psi} \left(i\,\partial\!\!\!/ + g B\!\!\!/ - m \right) \psi \right\} W[J],$$
$$W[J] = \exp\left\{ \sum_n \frac{g^n}{n!} \int d^4x_1 \ldots \int d^4x_n j^{a_1}_{\mu_1}(x_1)\ldots j^{a_n}_{\mu_n}(x_n) G^{a_1\ldots a_n}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n|B) \right\},$$

where $j^a_\mu(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma_\mu t^a \psi(x)$ is the quark current. By construction the gauge coupling constant g here appears to be renormalized within some appropriate renormalization scheme, and the n-point correlators are exact renormalized n-point gluon

Green functions of pure gauge theory in the presence of the background field B. Needless to say that exact form of the Green functions is not known, and certain reliable approximations have to be introduced. We truncate the exponent in $W[j]$ up to the four-fermion interaction term and approximate the two-point correlation function by the gluon propagator in the presence of homogeneous Abelian (anti-)self-dual field neglecting radiative perturbative corrections (for details see Refs.11, 12, 13). The randomness of domain ensemble is taken into account implicitly by means of averaging the quark loops over all possible configurations of the homogeneous background field at the final stage of derivation of the effective meson action[12,13].

Table 2. Masses of light mesons. $\tilde{M}$ denotes the value in the chiral limit.

Meson	n	$M_{\rm exp}$[14] (MeV)	M (MeV)	$\widetilde{M}$ (MeV)	Meson	n	$M_{\rm exp}$[14] (MeV)	M (MeV)	$\widetilde{M}$ (MeV)
π	0	140	140	0	ρ	0	775	775	769
$\pi(1300)$	1	1300	1310	1301	$\rho(1450)$	1	1450	1571	1576
$\pi(1800)$	1	1812	1503	1466	ρ	2	1720	1946	2098
K	0	494	494	0	K^*	0	892	892	769
$K(1460)$	1	1460	1302	1301	$K^*(1410)$	1	1410	1443	1576
K	2		1655	1466	$K^*(1717)$	1	1717	1781	2098
η	0	548	621	0	ω	0	775	775	769
η'	0	958	958	872	ϕ	0	1019	1039	769
$\eta(1295)$	1	1294	1138	1361	$\phi(1680)$	1	1680	1686	1576
$\eta(1475)$	1	1476	1297	1516	ϕ	2	2175	1897	2098

Approximated functional integral reads

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_{\mathcal{B}} dB \int_{\Psi} \mathcal{D}\psi\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \exp\left\{\int d^4x \bar{\psi}\left(i\partial\!\!\!/ + gB\!\!\!/ - m\right)\psi + \mathcal{L}\right\}, \tag{8}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g^2}{2}\int d^4x \int d^4y\; G^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(x,y|B) j^a_\mu(x) j^b_\nu(y),$$

where m is a diagonal quark mass matrix.

By means of the Fiertz transformation of color, Dirac and flavour matrices the four-quark interaction can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g^2}{2}\sum_{c,J} C_J \int d^4x \int d^4y D(x-y) J^{Jc}(x,y|B) J^{Jc}(y,x|B),$$

where numerical coefficients C_J are different for different spin-parity $J = S, P, V, A$. Here bilocal color neutral quark currents

$$J^{Jc}(x,y|B) = \bar{\psi}^{if}_\alpha(x)\lambda^c_{ff'}\Gamma^{\alpha\alpha'}_J \left[\left\{\frac{i}{2}x_\mu \hat{B}_{\mu\nu} y_\nu\right\}\right]^{ii'} \psi^{i'f'}_{\alpha'}(y)$$

are singlets with respect to the local background gauge transformations, $\hat{B}_{\mu\nu}$ denotes background field strength in the fundamental representation, and i, f and α

are color, flavour and space-time indices respectively. In the center of quark mass coordinate system bilocal currents take the form

$$J^{Jc}(x,y|B) \to J^{Jc}(x,z|B) = \bar{\psi}^{if}_{\alpha}(x) t^{c}_{ff'} \Gamma^{\alpha\alpha'}_{J} \left[\exp\left\{ iz_\mu \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathcal{D}}_\mu (x) \right\} \right]^{ii'}_{ff'} \psi^{i'f'}_{\alpha'}(x),$$

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathcal{D}}^{ff'}_{\mu}(x) = \xi_f \overset{\leftarrow}{\mathcal{D}}_\mu (x) - \xi_{f'} \overset{\rightarrow}{\mathcal{D}}_\mu (x), \quad \xi_f = \frac{m_f}{m_f + m_{f'}}, \; \xi_{f'} = \frac{m_f}{m_f + m_{f'}},$$

and their interaction is described by the action[11]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g^2}{2} \sum_{c,J} C_J \int d^4x \int d^4z D(z) J^{\dagger}_{Jc}(x,z|B) J_{Jc}(x,z|B), \tag{9}$$

$$D(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 z^2} \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{4}\Lambda^2 z^2 \right\}, \tag{10}$$

Table 3. Masses of heavy-light mesons and their lowest radial excitations .

Meson	n	$M_{\exp}$[14] (MeV)	M (MeV)	Meson	n	$M_{\exp}$[14] (MeV)	M (MeV)
D	0	1864	1715	D^*	0	2010	1944
D	1		2274	D^*	1		2341
D	2		2508	D^*	2		2564
D_s	0	1968	1827	D^*_s	0	2112	2092
D_s	1		2521	D^*_s	1		2578
D_s	2		2808	D^*_s	2		2859
B	0	5279	5041	B^*	0	5325	5215
B	1		5535	B^*	1		5578
B	2		5746	B^*	2		5781
B_s	0	5366	5135	B^*_s	0	5415	5355
B_s	1		5746	B^*_s	1		5783
B_s	2		5988	B^*_s	2		6021
B_c	0	6277	5952	B^*_c	0		6310
B_c	1		6904	B^*_c	1		6938
B_c	2		7233	B^*_c	2		7260

where x_μ - center of mass coordinates, and z_μ - relative coordinates of quark and antiquark and $\Lambda^2 = \sqrt{3}B/2$. Function $D(z)$ originates from the gluon propagator in the presence of the homogeneous Abelian (anti-)self-dual gluon field. It differs from the free massless scalar propagator by the Gaussian exponent, which completely changes the IR properties of the propagator. In momentum representation it takes the form

$$G\left(p^2\right) = \frac{1}{p^2}\left(1 - e^{-p^2/\Lambda^2}\right).$$

It is important that the gluon condensate encoded in the background vacuum field under consideration removes the pole from the propagator which can be treated as dynamical confinement. The same property is characteristic for the quark propagator in the homogeneous as well as domain structured[8] Abelian (anti-)self-dual gluon field. Momentum representation $\widetilde{H}_f(p|B)$ of the translation invariant part of the quark propagator in the presence of the homogeneous background field,

$$S(x,y) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{2}x_\mu B_{\mu\nu} y_\nu\right) H(x-y),$$

is an entire analytical function of momentum:

$$\widetilde{H}_f(p) = \frac{1}{2\upsilon\Lambda^2}\int_0^1 ds e^{(-p^2/2\upsilon\Lambda^2)s}\left(\frac{1-s}{1+s}\right)^{m_f^2/4\upsilon\Lambda^2}\Big[p_\alpha\gamma_\alpha \pm is\gamma_5\gamma_\alpha f_{\alpha\beta}p_\beta + \\ + m_f\left(P_\pm + P_\mp\frac{1+s^2}{1-s^2} - \frac{i}{2}\gamma_\alpha f_{\alpha\beta}\gamma_\beta\frac{s}{1-s^2}\right)\Big],$$

$$f_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\hat{n}}{2\upsilon\Lambda^2}B_{\alpha\beta}, \upsilon = \mathrm{diag}\left(\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{3}\right).$$

Table 4. Masses of heavy quarkonia.

Meson	n	$M_{\exp}$[14] (MeV)	M (MeV)
$\eta_c(1S)$	0	2981	2751
$\eta_c(2S)$	1	3639	3620
η_c	2		3882
$J/\psi(1S)$	0	3097	3097
$\psi(2S)$	1	3686	3665
$\psi(3770)$	2	3773	3810
$\Upsilon(1S)$	0	9460	9460
$\Upsilon(2S)$	1	10023	10102
$\Upsilon(3S)$	2	10355	10249

There are two equivalent ways to derive effective meson action based on the functional integral (8) with the interaction term $\mathcal{L}$ taken in the form (9). The first one is a bosonization of the functional integral in terms of bilocal meson-like fields (see for example Ref. 22). We shall return to this option in the discussion section. Another, more transparent in our opinion way is to decompose the bilocal currents over complete set of functions $f^{nl}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}(z)$ characterized by the radial quantum number n and orbital momentum l and orthogonal with the weight determined by the gluon propagator (10) in Eq.(9),

$$J^{aJ}(x,z) = \sum_{nl}\left(z^2\right)^{l/2} f^{nl}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}(z) J^{aJln}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}(x).$$

The choice of functions $f^{nl}(z)$ is unambiguously determined by the gluon propagator

$$f^{nl}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l} = L_{nl}\left(z^2\right) T^{(l)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}(n_z), \quad n_z = z/\sqrt{z^2}, \tag{11}$$

where $T^{(l)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}$ are irreducible tensors of four-dimensional rotational group, and generalized Laguerre polynomials L_{nl} obey relation

$$\int_0^\infty du\rho_l(u)L_{nl}(u)L_{n'l}(u) = \delta_{nn'}, \quad \rho_l(u) = u^l e^{-u}. \tag{12}$$

Table 5. Decay and transition constants of various mesons

Meson	n	$f_P^{\rm exp}$ (MeV)	f_P (MeV)	Meson	n	$g_{V\gamma}$ [14]	$g_{V\gamma}$
π	0	130 [14]	140	ρ	0	0.2	0.2
$\pi(1300)$	1	—	29	ρ	1		0.034
K	0	156 [14]	175	ω	0	0.059	0.067
$K(1460)$	1	—	27	ω	1		0.011
D	0	205 [14]	212	ϕ	0	0.074	0.069
D	1	—	51	ϕ	1		0.025
D_s	0	258 [14]	274	J/ψ	0	0.09	0.057
D_s	1	—	57	J/ψ	1		0.024
B	0	191 [14]	187	Υ	0	0.025	0.011
B	1	—	55	Υ	1		0.0039
B_s	0	253 [15]	248				
B_s	1	—	68				
B_c	0	489 [15]	434				
B_c	1		135				

The weight $\rho_l(u)$ originates from the gluon propagator (10). Nonlocal quark currents $J^{aJln}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}$ with complete set of meson quantum numbers depend only on the center of mass coordinate x[12,13],

$$\begin{aligned} J^{aJln}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}(x) &= \bar{q}(x)V^{aJln}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}\left(\frac{\overleftrightarrow{D}(x)}{\Lambda}\right)q(x), \\ V^{aJln}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}(x) &= M^a\Gamma^J F_{nl}\left(\frac{\overleftrightarrow{D}^2(x)}{\Lambda^2}\right)T^{(l)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}\left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\overleftrightarrow{D}(x)}{\Lambda}\right), \\ F_{nl}(s) &= s^n\int_0^1 dtt^{n+l}\exp(st), \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and the four-fermion interaction takes the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{g^2}{2} \sum_{a,J,l,n} C_J \int d^4x J^\dagger_{aJln}(x) J_{aJln}(x).$$

Further technical details of decomposition of bilocal quark currents and bosonization can be found in Ref. 12, 13. By means of bosonization the truncated QCD functional integral can be rewritten in terms of the composite colorless meson fields:

$$Z = \mathcal{N} \int D\Phi_{\mathcal{Q}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{\Lambda}{2} \frac{h^2_{\mathcal{Q}}}{g^2 C_{\mathcal{Q}}} \int dx \Phi^2_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) - \sum_k \frac{1}{k} W_k[\Phi] \right\}, \tag{14}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ denotes all meson quantum numbers. Meson-meson interactions are described by by k-point nonlocal vertices $\Gamma^{(k)}_{\mathcal{Q}_1 \dots \mathcal{Q}_2}$:

$$W_k[\Phi] = \sum_{\mathcal{Q}_1 \dots \mathcal{Q}_k} h_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \dots h_{\mathcal{Q}_k} \int dx_1 \dots \int dx_k \Phi_{\mathcal{Q}_1}(x_1) \dots \Phi_{\mathcal{Q}_k}(x_k) \Gamma^{(k)}_{\mathcal{Q}_1 \dots \mathcal{Q}_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$$

$$\Gamma^{(2)}_{\mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2} = \overline{G^{(2)}_{\mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2}(x_1, x_2)} - \Xi_2(x_1 - x_2) \overline{G^{(1)}_{\mathcal{Q}_1} G^{(1)}_{\mathcal{Q}_2}},$$

$$\Gamma^{(3)}_{\mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{Q}_3} = \overline{G^{(3)}_{\mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2 \mathcal{Q}_3}(x_1, x_2, x_3)} - \frac{3}{2} \Xi_2(x_1 - x_3) \overline{G^{(2)}_{\mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_2}(x_1, x_2) G^{(1)}_{\mathcal{Q}_3}(x_3)}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \Xi_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) \overline{G^{(1)}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}(x_1) G^{(1)}_{\mathcal{Q}_2}(x_2) G^{(1)}_{\mathcal{Q}_3}(x_3)}.$$

Vertices are expressed via quark loops $G^{(k)}_{\mathcal{Q}_1 \dots \mathcal{Q}_k}$:

$$\overline{G^{(k)}_{\mathcal{Q}_1 \dots \mathcal{Q}_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k)} = \int dB_j \mathrm{Tr} V_{\mathcal{Q}_1}\left(x_1 | B^{(j)}\right) S\left(x_1, x_2\right) V_{\mathcal{Q}_k}\left(x_k | B^{(j)}\right) S\left(x_k, x_1\right),$$

$$\overline{G^{(l)}_{\mathcal{Q}_1 \dots \mathcal{Q}_l}(x_1, \dots, x_l) G^{(k)}_{\mathcal{Q}_{l+1} \dots \mathcal{Q}_k}(x_{l+1}, \dots, x_k)} =$$
$$\int dB_j \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ V_{\mathcal{Q}_1}\left(x_1 | B^{(j)}\right) S\left(x_1, x_2 | B^{(j)}\right) \dots V_{\mathcal{Q}_k}\left(x_l | B^{(j)}\right) S\left(x_l, x_1 | B^{(j)}\right) \right\}$$
$$\times \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ V_{\mathcal{Q}_{l+1}}\left(x_{l+1} | B^{(j)}\right) S\left(x_{l+1}, x_{l+2} | B^{(j)}\right) \dots V_{\mathcal{Q}_k}\left(x_k | B^{(j)}\right) S\left(x_k, x_{l+1} | B^{(j)}\right) \right\}.$$

Bar denotes integration over all configurations of the background fields. Meson vertex functions include in general several one-loop diagrams correlated via the background field. Geometrically, the n-point correlator $\Xi_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is given by a volume of overlap of n four-dimensional hyperspheres[13].

The mass spectrum $M_{\mathcal{Q}}$ of mesons and quark-meson coupling constants $h_{\mathcal{Q}}$ are determined by the quadratic part of the effective meson action via equations

$$1 = \frac{g^2 C_{\mathcal{Q}}}{\Lambda^2} \tilde{\Pi}_{\mathcal{Q}}(-M^2_{\mathcal{Q}} | B), \quad h^{-2}_{\mathcal{Q}} = \frac{d}{dp^2} \tilde{\Pi}_{\mathcal{Q}}(p^2)|_{p^2 = -M^2_{\mathcal{Q}}}, \tag{15}$$

where $\tilde{\Pi}_{\mathcal{Q}}(p^2)$ are the eigenvalues of the two-point vertex $\tilde{\Gamma}^{(2)}_{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{Q}'}(p)$.

The free parameters of the model are the strong coupling constant α_s, quark masses $m_{u/d}$, m_s, m_c, m_b, the scale Λ and mean domain size R. By construction

the strong coupling constant and the quark masses correspond to the infrared limit of the running renormalized quantities. The scale and mean domain size are related to the scalar gluon condensate and topological susceptibility of pure gluodynamics.

4. Results for decay constants and masses of mesons

Dependence of the masses on radial quantum number n and orbital momentum l has Regge character as it has been shown long time ago[11]. The source of Regge mass spectrum in the model is the same as the source of dynamical color confinement – Gaussian exponents in the gluon and quark propagators due to the impact of the Abelian (anti-)self-dual background fields. In our previous estimations[12,13], nondiagonal in radial number terms in the quadratic part of the action were neglected. Results of improved computation with proper diagonalisation is given in Tables 2, 3 and 4. The values of parameters given in Table 1 were fitted to ground state mesons $\pi, \rho, K, K^*, \eta', J/\psi, \Upsilon$. The rest of masses were computed straightforwardly without further tuning of the parameters. In particular the same strong coupling constant was taken for light, heavy light mesons and heavy quarkonia. Computed values of leptonic decay constants f_P and $V \to \gamma$ transition constants $g_{V\gamma}$ are given in Table 5. In comparison with available experimental data the overall accuracy of the model is about 11-15% (with very few exceptions like $g_{V\gamma}$ for heavy quarkonia).

5. Discussion

Bosonization of the four-quark interaction (9) in terms of bilocal meson-like fields $\Phi_{Jc}(x,z)$ leads to the following quadratic part of the effective action

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{S}_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\int d^4x \int d^4z D(z)\Phi^2_{Jc}(x,z)\\
&-2g^2\int d^4x d^4x' d^4z d^4z' D(z)D(z')\Phi_{Jc}(x,z)\Pi_{Jc,J'c'}(x,x';z,z')\Phi_{J'c'}(x',z'),\\
&\Pi_{Jc,J'c'}(x,x';z,z') = \mathrm{Tr}V_{Jc}(x,z)S(x,x')V_{J'c'}(x',z')S(x',x),\\
&V_{Jc}(x,z) = \Gamma_J t_c \exp\left\{iz_\mu \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathcal{D}}_\mu(x)\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Meson wave functions $f^{nl}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}(z)$ in the decomposition

$$\Phi^{aJ}(x,z) = \sum_{nl}\left(z^2\right)^{l/2} f^{nl}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}(z)\Phi^{aJln}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_l}(x)$$

are defined by the action S_2 *via* corresponding integral equation. Solution of this eigenfunction problem is equivalent to diagonalization of the quadratic part of the effective action in Eq. (14). Specific Gaussian form (10) of gluon propagator $D(z)$ is the reason for the radial part of the wave functions to be represented by the generalized Laguerre polynomials, see Eq. (11). The mass spectrum has Regge character. Effective action (16) has to be compared with the soft wall AdS/QCD action. For

the quadratic in z dilaton profile $\varphi(z) = \kappa z^2$ one arrives at the decomposition

$$\Phi_J(x,z) = \sum_n \phi_{nJ}(z)\Phi_{nJ}(x)$$

with the radial meson wave functions proportional to generalized Laguerre polynomials, $\phi_{nJ} = R^{J-3/2}\kappa^{1+l}z^{l-J+2}L_n^l(\kappa^2 z^2)$, which is a solution of the corresponding eigenvalue problem in differential form. In this case the meson masses correspond strictly to Regge spectrum.

There are obvious differences between two actions. There are four z-coordinates in (16) and, hence, the meson wave function contains angular part, and it is not immediately clear where AdS metrics could come from in representation (16). Apart from this, the feature in common is the Gaussian weight function in the actions which in both cases plays the most important role for phenomenological output. One gets an impression that the form of dilaton profile in soft wall AdS/QCD approach can be linked to the gluon propagator and thus to the properties of QCD vacuum. So far this observation looks as superficial one and requires more profound consideration.

References

1. L.D. Faddeev, arXiv:0911.1013 [math-ph].
2. H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B **179** , 129 (1981).
3. H. Pagels, and E. Tomboulis, Nucl. Phys. B **143**, 485 (1978).
4. H. Leutwyler, Phys. Lett. **B 96**, 154 (1980).
5. P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. B**76**, 439 (1978).
6. A. Eichhorn, H. Gies and J. M. Pawlowski, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 045014 (2011).
7. B.V. Galilo and S.N. Nedelko, Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett., **8**, 67 (2011).
8. A.C. Kalloniatis and S.N. Nedelko, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 114025 (2001);
9. B.V. Galilo and S.N. Nedelko, Phys. Rev. D**84**, 094017(2011).
10. S. N. Nedelko and V. E. Voronin, Eur. Phys. J. A **51**, 45 (2015).
11. G.V. Efimov, and S.N. Nedelko, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 176 (1995).
12. J. V. Burdanov, G. V. Efimov, S. N. Nedelko, S. A. Solunin, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 4483 (1996).
13. A.C. Kalloniatis and S.N. Nedelko, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 074029 (2004).
14. K.A. Olive et al. (Particle Data Group) *Chinese Phys. C* **38**,090001 (2014).
15. T. W. Chiu *et al.* [TWQCD Collaboration], PoS LAT **2006**, 180 (2007).
16. Y. V. Burdanov, G. V. Efimov, S. N. Nedelko and S. A. Solunin, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 4483 (1996).
17. P. Maris and P. C. Tandy, Phys. Rev. C 60, 055214 (1999)
18. C. S. Fischer, S. Kubrak and R. Williams, Eur. Phys. J. A **50**, 126 (2014).
19. A. Karch, E. Katz, D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 015005 (2006).
20. H. Leutwyler and J. Stern, Phys. Lett. B **73**, 75 (1978); *ibid* Annals Phys. **112**, 94 (1978); *ibid* Nucl. Phys. B **157**, 327 (1979).
21. G. F. de Teramond and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 201601 (2005); *ibid* Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 201601 (2006); *ibid* Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 081601 (2009).
22. J. Praschifka, C. D. Roberts and R. T. Cahill, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 209 (1987).